

## REMARKS

In the Office Action dated July 29, 2004, the Examiner states that the present Application contains two distinct inventions related as process of making and product made. As such, the Examiner is requiring the Applicant to elect a single invention for examination. Specifically, the Examiner is requiring the Applicant to elect between a first invention, Group I, recited in Claims 1-8 and 16-21, drawn to a keyed filler panel with integrated recess region, classified in Class 174, subclass 35R, and a second invention, Group II, recited in Claims 9-15, drawn to a method for removable coupling, classified in Class 164, subclass 167.

### ELECTION WITHOUT TRAVERSE BETWEEN GROUP I AND GROUP II

Applicant elects without traverse Group I, recited in Claims 1-8 and 16-21, drawn to a keyed filler panel with integrated recess region, classified in Class 174, subclass 35R.

Conclusion

In light of the above-listed amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully requests examination of the Claims. Claims 9-15 are cancelled. Claims 1-8 and 16-21 remain pending.

The Examiner is urged to contact Applicant's undersigned representative if the Examiner believes such action would expedite resolution of the present Application.

Respectfully submitted,

WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP

Date: 9/3/04



John P. Wagner, Jr.  
Registration No. 35,398

Two North Market Street  
Third Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
(408) 938-9060